# **Answers**

Section B Marks

## Girondas Properties (Pty) Ltd Year ended 31 August 2015

## (a) Disposal gain

			Original cost P	Indexation P	Tax cost P	
	Land Building Extensions	September 2001 January 2002 June 2006	400,000 850,000 550,000	716,749 1,493,288 465,967	1,116,749 2,343,288 1,015,967	1·5 1·5 1·5
			1,800,000	2,676,004	4,476,004	
	Sales price				7,200,000	
	Disposal gain Less: rollover relief				2,723,996 (1,250,000)	1.5
	Net disposal gain				1,473,996	
						6
(b)	Balancing charge					
	Balancing charge (lim	nited to the allowances of	claimed)		P286,250	2
(c)	Capital allowance cla	nim				
	Annual allowance: P1	1,250,000 x 2·5%			P31,250	1
(d)	Cost of new property	for capital gains tax (C	CGT) purposes			
	Cost for CGT purpose	s (after rollover relief)			PO	1
						10

**Tutorial note:** The full cost of the new property has been rolled over and so the cost for CGT purposes is nil.

## 2 Power Plastics (Pty) Ltd Year ended 31 March 2016

# (a) Trigger for liability to withhold tax

The liability to withhold tax on certain payments made to both residents and non-residents arises when a 'payment' is made. In this context a payment is a physical payment, therefore there is no liability to withhold tax when the expenses are accrued but not paid.

2

## (b) Tax which should have been withheld if all the expenses were paid

Р	
0	0.5
11,804	0.5
1,469	0.5
0	0.5
3,000	0.5
63,968	0.5
80,241	
	3
	0 11,804 1,469 0 3,000 63,968

					Marks
(c)	Tax	able income	Р	Р	
		profit per accounts		1,531,067	٥٢
		d: interest paid to non-residents d: royalties paid to non-residents	78,692 426,450	505,142	0·5 0·5
		s: interest paid to non-residents s: royalties paid to non-residents (28,410/0·15)	78,692 189,400		0·5 1
		s: dividends received	37,390	(305,482)	0.5
	Tax	able income		1,730,727	3
(d)	Tax	payable	В	D	
		at 15%	Р	<b>P</b> 259,609	
		s: SAT paid s: withholding tax on dividends	220,000 0		0·5 0·5
		s: withholding tax on interest	1,893		0.5
		s: withholding tax on rents	7,804	(229,697)	0.5
	Net	tax payable		29,912	
					2
					10
Max	a a # a	Deverages (Phy) Ltd			
	-	: Beverages (Pty) Ltd Ided tax (VAT) – year ended 30 April 2016			
(a)	Adj	ustments required			
	1	Exports of goods outside Botswana are zero rated. If goods are whatever reason in respect of such exports, then the VAT input d output classification. The output was zero rated, so the input muthere should be no input credit claim for the returns of P62,017	locumentation must ust also be zero rate	mirror the original	1.5
	2	For VAT purposes, the profit on the sale of an asset is not the proceeds received. Accordingly the output should be shown as F		instead the actual	1
	The basic rule for claiming an input credit is when a tax invoice is received. It does not matter that the goods were not actually received until a later date. Therefore, the claim is valid and no adjustment is required.				1.5
	4	Residential rent is an exempt supply and an input tax credit can	not be claimed for t	he P86,200.	1
	Hotel bills are considered to be 'entertainment' which is a prohibited input. It does not matter that the expense is a genuine business expense. The P118,269 must be disallowed as an input credit.				1
	6	By concession a tax invoice is not required where a discount on	early settlement is o	laimed. Therefore,	
		no adjustment is required.			- <u>1</u> -7
(b)	Rev	vised VAT computation	_	_	
	Exc	ess of outputs – original computation	Р	<b>P</b> 942,625	
	Add	d: sales returns in respect of exports	62,017	3 12,020	0.5
		d: sales proceeds on machine (120,000 – 44,933) d: tax invoice received for goods not received by the year end	75,067 0		0·5 0·5
	Add	d: residential rent	86,200		0.5
		d: hotel bills d: discount	118,269 0	341,553	0·5 0·5
		ress of outputs: correct computation		1,284,178	3 0
					3
					3 10

# Marshall Enterprises (Pty) Ltd (a) Taxpayer's rights of objection and appeal In the first instance, any taxpayer who is aggrieved with an assessment may object in writing to the Commissioner, within 60 days of the issue of the assessment, setting out the matter in dispute. 1.5 The Commissioner General must consider the objection and may allow it or disallow it and his decision must 0.5 be communicated in writing to the taxpayer. If the taxpayer is still aggrieved at the decision of the Commissioner General, the taxpayer may appeal the decision within 60 days to the Board of Adjudicators. The Board of Adjudicators is a specialised tax court which will hear the appeal and issue its judgement. 1 If the taxpayer is still aggrieved, further appeals may be made on a point of law, first to the High Court and thereafter to the Court of Appeal. 1 4 (b) Time barred assessment In normal circumstances, the Commissioner General can only amend an assessment within four years after the tax year to which it relates (s.83). 1 The four-year time period can be extended to eight years if a person has: misrepresented or failed to disclose certain material facts; (jj) failed to furnish a tax return: or (iii) furnished an incorrect tax return. 1 In this case, the taxpayer fully disclosed all information relating to its expenditure, so the time limit will be four years. The revised assessments were made in February 2016, therefore the 2008 assessment is time barred and Marshall Enterprises can object and appeal on this basis. 1 3 (c) Interest on self-assessment tax (SAT) underpayments An interest charge arises where a company fails to make a minimum quarterly SAT payment of 20% of the 0.5 final tax assessed (i.e. 80% in total). Interest is payable at the rate of 1.5% a month or part of a month on any underpayment, from the date after the quarter date on which it was due (i.e. in Marshall Enterprises' case, 1 August, 1 November, 1 February and 1 May respectively) until the actual date of payment. 1.5 2 (d) Late filing penalty Marshall Enterprises' 2013 tax return should have been filed no later than 31 August 2013 but instead was only filed on 26 November 2013. Accordingly the return is 87 (30 + 31 + 26) days late. The late filing penalty at P100 per day is P8,700. 1 10

Marks

	eledi Merchants (Pty) Ltd ended 31 December 2015				Ма
)	Capital allowances schedule				
	Working – balancing charge and rollover re	lief		_	
	Cost of plant Capital allowances claimed			P 276,500 (141,475) 135,025	0. 0.
	Proceeds			170,000	
	Balancing charge Less: rolled over on cost of new plant			34,975 350,000	0.
	Tax cost of new plant			315,025	
		Plant	Vehicles	Total	
	Cost at 31 December 2014 Addition (working) Disposal	<b>P</b> 1,790,423 315,025 (276,500)	<b>P</b> 920,644 0 0	P 2,711,067 315,025 (276,500)	0· 0·
	Cost at 31 December 2015	1,828,948	920,644	2,749,592	
	Allowances at 31 December 2014 Current year claim Disposal	866,093 274,342 (141,475)	359,972 230,161 0	1,226,065 504,503 (141,475)	0
	Allowances at 31 December 2015	998,960	590,133	1,589,093	
	Tax value at 31 December 2015	829,988	330,511	1,160,499	0
)	Taxable income				
	Working – capital gain on shares				
	Cost Sale proceeds			P 450,000 725,000 275,000	0
	Less: 25% moveable property allowance			(68,750)	0
	Net capital gain			206,250	
	Net profit per accounts  Add: contributions to provident fund		P 68,219	<b>P</b> 1,317,826	0.
	Add: depreciation Add: stock obsolescence Add: unrecovered VAT		706,643 0 0	774,862	0
	Less: capital allowances (from (a)) Less: allowance for leasehold improvements Less: dividends received Less: profit on sale of shares	(840,000/10)	504,503 84,000 103,229 150,000		0 0
	Less: profit on sale of plant Chargeable income		65,900	(907,632) 1,185,056	0
				206,250	0.
	Net capital gain (working)  Taxable income			1,391,306	U

**Tutorial note:** Expenditure incurred on leasehold improvements is tax deductible over the period of the unexpired lease on a straight line basis.

Marks

#### (c) Stock obsolescence

In terms of the First Schedule of the Income Tax Act, stock must be valued at the cost to the person carrying on the business. However, the value of the stock can be reduced by the amount that it has been diminished because of damage, deterioration, obsolescence or other cause. Therefore, a tax deduction for stock obsolescence is permitted.

2 15

## 6 Kabelo Monyake

## (a) Tax year ending 30 June 2016

## (i) Taxable income

	r	Г	
Salary (15,000 x 12)		180,000	0.5
Commission		478,622	0.5
Prize		28,000	0.5
Car allowance		120,000	0.5
Medical expenses		0	0.5
Unfair dismissal award		105,225	1
Bank interest		0	0.5
		911,847	
Less: interest on car loan	28,726		0.5
Less: other vehicle expenses	58,864		1
Less: capital allowances (25% x 280,000)	70,000		1
	157,590		
Less: 70% for business purposes	<del></del>	(110,313)	0.5
Less: medical expenses	0	,	0.5
Less: retirement annuity contributions	24,000	(24,000)	0.5
Taxable income		777,534	

## (ii) Tax payable

	Р	Р	
First P144,000		13,050	
Next P633,534 at 25%		158,384	
Total tax		171,434	1
Less: PAYE withheld: salary	126,872		0.5
court award	26,250	(153,122)	0.5
Tax payable		18,312	

The due date of payment is within 30 days of the issue of the assessment by BURS.

# (b) Tax savings if the car is provided to Kabelo by his employer

## Current tax cost of the car (as in part (a))

Car allowance Less: deductible expenses	120,000 (110,313)	0·5 0·5
	9,687	
Tax at 25% (marginal rate)	2,422	0.5
Alternative cost if the car is provided by his employer		
Car benefit (10,000 + 15% (280,000 - 200,000)	22,000	1
Tax at 25% (marginal rate)	5,500	0.5

	Marks
Based on the current tax year's figures, Kabelo would be financially worse off if he accepted the offer, by $P3,078 (5,500 - 2,422)$ .	0.5
However, the deductible expenses are currently relatively high due to the capital allowances and this might not be the case in future years, depending on how frequently Kabelo replaces his car.	0.5
	4
	15