Answers

Marks

1 Niecna S.A.

(a) Corporate income tax computation for the five month period to 30 November 2009

	PLN	PLN	
Loss per accounts	600,000		
Accrued interest payable (W1)		75,000	1
Thin capital interest disallowed (W1)		45,000	2
Rental allocation (W2)		40,000	1
Rental charge (W2)		48,000	1
Finance charge (W2)	12,700		1
Depreciation on plant and machinery (W2)	28,000		1
Polish dividend (not subject to CIT)	8,100		1
Chilean withholding tax (W3)		4,500	1
New technology (no depreciation available)		240,000	2
Depreciation (W4)	2,000		2
Donation		22,000	1
	650,800	474,500	14
	474,500		
Tax loss for the period	176,300		

Workings

		PLN
W1:	Interest expense charged to profit and loss account: 5,000,000 x 9% x 5/12	187,500
	Less: accrued for two months to 30 November 5,000,000 x 9% x 2/12	(75,000)
	Actual interest paid for the quarter to 30 September 2009	112,500
	Thin capitalisation also applies:	
	Three times capital on 30 September 2009 (payment date) is: PLN 1,000,000 x 3	3,000,000
	Amount disallowed: 2,000,000/5,000,000 x 112,500	45,000

W2: The lease is a finance lease, so the rental charged to the profit and loss account must be reversed, and the finance cost, as per the agreement, charged as an allowable expense.

Rental allocation from deferred rent to be reversed: $480,000 \times 3/36 = 40,000$

Rental charges: $24,000 \times 2 = 48,000$ Finance charges: 6,440 + 6,260 = 12,700

Depreciation on capital cost at 14%: 1,200,000 x 14% x 2/12 = 28,000

- W3: Chilean dividend gross up for tax withheld: $25,500 \times 15/85 = 4,500$
- W4: The cost of the warehouse net of the government grant received qualifies for depreciation.

Amount to be depreciated: 800,000 - 480,000 = 320,000

Depreciation: $320,000 \times 2.5\% \times 3/12 = 2,000$

- (b) A lease is a finance lease if the following three criteria are all simultaneously satisfied:
 - the agreement is for a fixed term;
 the total net of value added tax (VAT) payments determined in the agreement exceed the initial value of the asset;
 - the agreement states that the lessee will depreciate the asset.

(c) Tax allowable costs are expenses borne by a company that legitimately reduce the revenue to arrive at its taxable income.

Reliefs are allowances and deductions which also serve to reduce the final tax liability. Such deductions are often not directly associated with the earning of revenue, but have been given by the legislator to encourage certain activities. They may be deducted from income to arrive at the tax base on which tax is computed, or deducted directly from the actual tax liability.

2

1

1

1

1 3

		Marks
(d)	The new technology relief available on the new technology expenditure can be carried forward and used to reduce income in the next three tax years: if there is insufficient income in those years, the remaining unclaimed relief will be lost.	1
		-
	The tax withheld on a Polish dividend from a small shareholding is the final tax, so there is no relief for the Polish dividend tax.	1
	The tax credit for foreign withholding tax would normally reduce the Polish tax payable in the year the dividend is received, up to the Polish equivalent tax on the gross dividend revenue. Since there is no Polish tax due to losses having been made, it is of no value to Niecna in 2009.	1
	No relief is available for the donation, since donations can only reduce income in the tax year, and there is no income in 2009.	<u>1</u> <u>4</u>
(e)	The fact that the period is only five months in length does not alter the fact that it is still considered a 'tax year' under the corporate income tax law.	1
	The loss for this 'tax year' like any other tax year is available to reduce the taxable income of any of the next five tax years (which need not necessarily be 12-month periods) until it has been exhausted. This offset will reduce the tax payable in the years of claim.	1
	However, no more than half of the loss can be used in any particular year.	1
	The company can choose in which tax years it will utilise the loss.	4
(f)		
(f)	The company needed to notify an accounting date other than 31 December upon registration with the tax office.	1
	In the event of choosing a non-December year end the first period of account cannot exceed 12 months, so the first tax year could not be 17 months long.	_1
		2
		30
And	rzej and Aleksandra	
	.=-,	
(a)	In order to claim joint taxation a couple:	
	 must be married throughout the entire tax year; must have joint ownership of property; and must both sign the relevant tax return. 	1 1 1
	The 2009 tax return must be filed by 30 April 2010.	1
	The 2003 tax retain must be med by 30 April 2010.	

(1)	Andrzej:			
. ,	•	PLN	PLN	
	Business activity revenue Allowed motor cost 7,000 x 1		116,000 (7,000)	
	Income Relief for: own ZUS		109,000 (8,000)	
	Rehabilitation (mother-in-law earns below PLN 9,120) Medicines (3,700 – 1,200)	2,500		
	Clinic Sanatoria	3,650 2,450		
	Conversion of home (relevant to disability) Motor travel: maximum	6,120 2,280		
	Toy hope		(17,000) 84,000	
	Tax base		84,000	
	Joint taxation: half tax base = PLN 42,000 Tax at 18%	7,560		
	Less:	(556)		
		7,004		
	Liability 7,004 x 2 Less: child deduction for 2 children 1,112 x 2	14,008 (2,224)		
	Final tax liability	11,784		
(2)	Aleksandra: Rental 5,750 x 12	69,000		
	Flat rate tax at 8.5% on PLN 13,510 20% on balance of PLN 55,490		1,148 11,098	
	Tax liability		12,246	
(3)	Sale of house:			
(0)	Revenue		1,250,000	
	Notarial fees		(30,000)	
	Net proceeds Original cost in 2009		1,220,000 (1,130,000)	
	Income Exempt: reinvested proportion of revenue: 90,000 x 880,00	00/1,250,000	90,000 63,360	
	Taxable income		26,640	
	Tax at 19%		5,062	
				-
Tax	saving if Aleksandra's rental income is taxed normally	DIN	DIN	
Reve	enue	PLN	PLN 69,000	
Allo	wed costs:		,	
	reciation of apartment 160 x 988 x 1·5% vice charge	2,371 7,244		
	rance	2,410		
Prop	perty taxes	174		
	ets below PLN 3,500 betual usufruct charge	3,420 1,220		
	or repairs	2,161		
	naining items not allowed	0		
			19,000	
	able income		50,000	

				Marks
		The joint tax base for Andrzej and Aleksandra will increase by PLN 50,000 to PLN 134,000.		
		Under joint taxation half of tax base becomes PLN 67,000.		
			9,000 .2,246)	1 1/2
		Saving	3,246	
		_		7 25
				25
3	Paw	vel Sp. z o.o.		
	(a)	A zero rated activity has 0% output value added tax (VAT) charged. However, as it is a taxable action input tax on the related supplies acquired can be recovered.	vity, any	1
		Exempt activity is not subject to VAT, so input tax cannot be recovered.		1
				2
	(b)	Input tax on supplies that are identified as directly relating to taxable activity is fully recoverable, wh tax on supplies directly relating to exempt activity is not recoverable.	llst input	1/2
		Where input VAT relates to supplies that cannot be directly allocated to either taxable or exempt active percentage of taxable to total activity, based on the revenue invoiced in the prior year, is calculated a		
		during the year.	ma asca	1/2
		The percentage to be used has to be rounded up to the nearest full percentage point.		1/2
		After the year end the actual percentage for the year is calculated (rounded up as above) and an ad for the difference in input tax recoverable for the year made in the first VAT return of the next year.	justment	1
		However, if the difference between the two years' percentages is 2% or less, no such correction is	made.	1/2
		For plant and machinery purchases over PLN 15,000, an adjustment of one fifth of the difference each year for five years, using the actual percentage for the particular year compared to the original		4
	(c)	(i) Input VAT NOT recoverable by Pawel Sp. z o.o. alone in 2009		
	(C)	Taxable/total activity for $2008 = 4,800,000/(4,800,000 + 8,500,000) = 36.09\%$, round	od up to	
		37%.	eu up to	1/2
		Taxable/total activity for $2009 = 6,000,000/(6,000,000 + 9,000,000) = 40\%$		1/2
		As the difference from the 2008 percentage of 37% is greater than 2% an annual correction required and ultimately 60% of the unallocated input VAT will be irrecoverable.	ı will be	1
		Irrecoverable VAT for Pawel Sp. z o.o. alone:		
			PLN	
			16,420 20,460	1/ ₂ 1/ ₂
			32,842	1
			3,804	1
		Total irrecoverable VAT for 2009	3,526	
				5

		<i>,</i>			Marks
		(ii)	Additional VAT recoverable in 2009 if companies merge		
			If Pawel Sp. z o.o. and Gawel Sp. z o.o. merge, the proportion for 2009 becomes: $(6,000,000+21,000,000)/(6,000,000+9,000,000+21,000,000)=75\%$, so only unallocated VAT is not recoverable, even though none of the joint costs apply to the Gawel Sp. z o.o.		1
			Saving of VAT as a result of merger:		
			On 2009 machinery additions: 1,244,000 x 22% x (60% – 25%) x 1/5 On other 2009 purchases: 1,847,000 x 22% x 35% On 2008 machinery additions, additional saving: 2,100,000 x 22% x 35% x 1/5 Total input tax saved for 2009	PLN 19,158 142,219 32,340 193,717	1/2 1/2 1
			'		3
			Tutorial note: the merger will not affect the full recoverability of the input tax of Gawel S long as these costs remain separately identified.	p. z o.o. as	
	(d)		the Gawel Sp. z o.o. has no output tax, it will be able to recover its input tax by offset agains that Pawel Sp. z o.o. pays, leading to immediate recovery, as opposed to the need for refund		1 15
4	Mos	siezny	Nos Sp. z o.o.		
	(a)	Don	ald Cameron		
		No :	social security (ZUS) or health service (HSC) contributions as he is employed elsewhere		1/2
				PLN	
		Fee	wed cost (flat rate)	25,000 111	1/2
			able Income	24,889	/2
			at 18%	4,480	1/2
					1/2
		met	fee (25,000 – 4,480)	20,520	*/2
			a Brazier ZUS or HSC since not resident		1/2
			tract gross fee t allowed 20%	PLN 4,000 800	1/2
			able Income	3,200	
			at 18%	576	1/2
			fee (4,000 – 576)	3,424	1/2

		Employee PLN	Employer PLN	Total PLN	
ZUS contribution Retirement Disability Sickness Accident Work Fund Workers benefit	s (9·76% x 5,790) (1·5%, 4·5% x 5,790) (2·45% x 18,000) (1·67% x 18,000) (2·45% x 18,000) (0·10% x 18,000)	565 87 441	565 261 301 441 18	1,130 348 441 301 441 18	1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2
ZUS deduction fr	om salary	1,093	1,586		
Gross pay		18,000			
HSC base Cost allowed	111·25 x 1·25	16,907 (139)			1/ ₂ 1
Taxable income		16,768			
Cumulative taxab less ZUS at 13·7 less cost allowed		90,000 (12,339) (695) 76,966			1
This is below PLI	N 85,528, thus PIT is payable	е			
at the rate of 18° PLN 16,768 at 1 less 556.02/12		3,018 (46)			1/ ₂ 1/ ₂
HSC deduction	7·75% x 16,907	2,972 (1,310)			1/2
PIT payable		1,662			
HSC payable	16,907 x 9%	1,522			1/2
Stefania's net pay 18,000 - 1,093	y - 1,662 - 1,522 =	13,723			1/2
					13
All ZUS and HSC No return of PIT		ue by 15 July			1/2 1/2 1/2
PIT deducted mu	ıst be paid by 20 July				
					2
					15

5 Miron Zawilski

(b)

(a) (i) Tax card conditions

Stefania Dorel

The taxpayer must apply to use the method before the first tax payment is due, that is 20 February in the year.

The taxpayer must only carry out the listed trade or profession for which he has registered.

All staff must be employed only under employment contracts, except for occasional specialist services.

The taxpayer's spouse cannot carry out the same trade.

The maximum number of 'professional' employees in the tax card table cannot be exceeded.

There must be no production of products subject to excise duty.

Any THREE at 1 mark each: maximum

Marks

(::)	Tay navable under the						Marks
(ii)	Tax payable under th	ne tax card method					
	Months to June: Adam, Pawel, engine plus 3 ancillaries (He Apprentices Marcin a less 10% for 1 disab	elena, Malgosia, Jo and Tomasz, up to 1	asia) + 60% (3 x 20 12 months after quali		pred		1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2
	Tax = 602 + 60%,	but limited to maxi	mum table rate for 3	employees less 10%	PLN 740 (74) ————		1 1/2
	For 6 months					3,996	
	Months from July: Marcin included, thu plus 3 ancillaries + 4						1/ ₂ 1/ ₂
	Tax = 740 + 45%			less 10%	PLN 1,073 (107)		
	5 6 "				966	5.700	1/2
	For 6 months					5,796	
	Total annual tax					9,796	5
Tax	payable under the flat	t rate method					
Rev	enue is reduced propo	rtionately for:					
	50% loss from 2007 Miron's own ZUS cos					PLN 32,000 8,000	1 1
						40,000	
		Services (8·5%)	Production (5·5%)	Trade (3·0%))	Total	
	enue relief (as above)	65,000 (6,500)	300,000 (30,000)	35,000 (3,500)	400,000 (40,000)	1
Taxa	able amount	58,500	270,000	31,500)		
Tax	at respective rates	4,973	14,850	945	5	20,768	1
							4
	ssion of revenue will range omitted.	result in a tax char	ge of five times the u	sual rate, up to	a maximuı	m 75% of the	1
	on will also not be allow system.	wed to use the flat r	rate method and will h	nave to pay tax u	sing the no	ormal personal	1
Miss	stating any relevant fac use of the tax card me			report an emplo	yment char	nge will cause	$\frac{1}{3}$ 15

(b)

(c)