Fundamentals Level - Skills Module

Financial Reporting (International)

Tuesday 9 December 2008

Time allowed

Reading and planning: 15 minutes Writing: 3 hours

ALL FIVE questions are compulsory and MUST be attempted.

Do NOT open this paper until instructed by the supervisor.

During reading and planning time only the question paper may be annotated. You must NOT write in your answer booklet until instructed by the supervisor.

This question paper must not be removed from the examination hall.

The Association of Chartered Certified Accountants



ALL FIVE questions are compulsory and MUST be attempted

1 On 1 April 2008, Pedantic acquired 60% of the equity share capital of Sophistic in a share exchange of two shares in Pedantic for three shares in Sophistic. The issue of shares has not yet been recorded by Pedantic. At the date of acquisition shares in Pedantic had a market value of \$6 each. Below are the summarised draft financial statements of both companies.

Income statements for the year ended 30 September 2008

Revenue Cost of sales	Pedantic \$'000 85,000 (63,000)	Sophistic \$'000 42,000 (32,000)
Gross profit Distribution costs Administrative expenses Finance costs	22,000 (2,000) (6,000) (300)	10,000 (2,000) (3,200) (400)
Profit before tax Income tax expense	13,700 (4,700)	4,400 (1,400)
Profit for the year	9,000	3,000
Statements of financial position as at 30 September 2008 Assets Non-current assets Property, plant and equipment	40,600	12,600
Current assets	16,000	6,600
Total assets	56,600	19,200
Equity and liabilities Equity shares of \$1 each Retained earnings	10,000 35,400 45,400	4,000 6,500 10,500
Non-current liabilities 10% loan notes	3,000	4,000
Current liabilities	8,200	4,700
Total equity and liabilities	56,600	19,200

The following information is relevant:

- (i) At the date of acquisition, the fair values of Sophistic's assets were equal to their carrying amounts with the exception of an item of plant, which had a fair value of \$2 million in excess of its carrying amount. It had a remaining life of five years at that date [straight-line depreciation is used]. Sophistic has not adjusted the carrying amount of its plant as a result of the fair value exercise.
- (ii) Sales from Sophistic to Pedantic in the post acquisition period were \$8 million. Sophistic made a mark up on cost of 40% on these sales. Pedantic had sold \$5·2 million (at cost to Pedantic) of these goods by 30 September 2008.
- (iii) Other than where indicated, income statement items are deemed to accrue evenly on a time basis.
- (iv) Sophistic's trade receivables at 30 September 2008 include \$600,000 due from Pedantic which did not agree with Pedantic's corresponding trade payable. This was due to cash in transit of \$200,000 from Pedantic to Sophistic. Both companies have positive bank balances.
- (v) Pedantic has a policy of accounting for any non-controlling interest at fair value. For this purpose the fair value of the goodwill attributable to the non-controlling interest in Sophistic is \$1.5 million. Consolidated goodwill was not impaired at 30 September 2008.

Required:

- (a) Prepare the consolidated income statement for Pedantic for the year ended 30 September 2008. (9 marks)
- (b) Prepare the consolidated statement of financial position for Pedantic as at 30 September 2008. (16 marks) Note: a statement of changes in equity is not required.

(25 marks)

3 [P.T.O.

2 The following trial balance relates to Candel at 30 September 2008:

	\$'000	\$'000
Leasehold property – at valuation 1 October 2007 (note (i))	50,000	
Plant and equipment – at cost (note (i))	76,600	
Plant and equipment – accumulated depreciation at 1 October 2007		24,600
Capitalised development expenditure – at 1 October 2007 (note (ii))	20,000	
Development expenditure – accumulated amortisation at 1 October 200	7	6,000
Closing inventory at 30 September 2008	20,000	
Trade receivables	43,100	
Bank		1,300
Trade payables and provisions (note (iii))		23,800
Revenue (note (i))		300,000
Cost of sales	204,000	
Distribution costs	14,500	
Administrative expenses (note (iii))	22,200	
Preference dividend paid	800	
Interest on bank borrowings	200	
Equity dividend paid	6,000	
Research and development costs (note (ii))	8,600	
Equity shares of 25 cents each		50,000
8% redeemable preference shares of \$1 each (note (iv))		20,000
Retained earnings at 1 October 2007		24,500
Deferred tax (note (v))		5,800
Leasehold property revaluation reserve		10,000
	466,000	466,000

The following notes are relevant:

(i) Non-current assets – tangible:

The leasehold property had a remaining life of 20 years at 1 October 2007. The company's policy is to revalue its property at each year end and at 30 September 2008 it was valued at \$43 million. Ignore deferred tax on the revaluation.

On 1 October 2007 an item of plant was disposed of for 2.5 million cash. The proceeds have been treated as sales revenue by Candel. The plant is still included in the above trial balance figures at its cost of \$8 million and accumulated depreciation of \$4 million (to the date of disposal).

All plant is depreciated at 20% per annum using the reducing balance method.

Depreciation and amortisation of all non-current assets is charged to cost of sales.

(ii) Non-current assets – intangible:

In addition to the capitalised development expenditure (of \$20 million), further research and development costs were incurred on a new project which commenced on 1 October 2007. The research stage of the new project lasted until 31 December 2007 and incurred \$1.4\$ million of costs. From that date the project incurred development costs of \$800,000 per month. On 1 April 2008 the directors became confident that the project would be successful and yield a profit well in excess of its costs. The project is still in development at 30 September 2008.

Capitalised development expenditure is amortised at 20% per annum using the straight-line method. All expensed research and development is charged to cost of sales.

(iii) Candel is being sued by a customer for \$2 million for breach of contract over a cancelled order. Candel has obtained legal opinion that there is a 20% chance that Candel will lose the case. Accordingly Candel has provided \$400,000 (\$2 million x 20%) included in administrative expenses in respect of the claim. The unrecoverable legal costs of defending the action are estimated at \$100,000. These have not been provided for as the legal action will not go to court until next year.

- (iv) The preference shares were issued on 1 April 2008 at par. They are redeemable at a large premium which gives them an effective finance cost of 12% per annum.
- (v) The directors have estimated the provision for income tax for the year ended 30 September 2008 at \$11.4 million. The required deferred tax provision at 30 September 2008 is \$6 million.

Required:

(a) Prepare the statement of comprehensive income for the year ended 30 September 2008. (12 marks)

(b) Prepare the statement of changes in equity for the year ended 30 September 2008. (3 marks)

(c) Prepare the statement of financial position as at 30 September 2008. (10 marks)

Note: notes to the financial statements are not required.

(25 marks)

5 [P.T.O.

3 Victular is a public company that would like to acquire (100% of) a suitable private company. It has obtained the following draft financial statements for two companies, Grappa and Merlot. They operate in the same industry and their managements have indicated that they would be receptive to a takeover.

Income statements for the year ended 30 September 2008

Revenue Cost of sales	\$'000	Grappa \$'000 12,000 (10,500)	\$'000	Merlot \$'000 20,500 (18,000)
Gross profit Operating expenses Finance costs – loan – overdraft – lease		1,500 (240) (210) nil nil		2,500 (500) (300) (10) (290)
Profit before tax Income tax expense		1,050 (150)		1,400 (400)
Profit for the year		900		1,000
Note: dividends paid during the year		250		700
Statements of financial position as at 30 Assets	September 20	08		
Non-current assets Freehold factory (note (i)) Owned plant (note (ii)) Leased plant (note (ii))		4,400 5,000 nil		nil 2,200 5,300
_		9,400		7,500
Current assets Inventory Trade receivables Bank	2,000 2,400 600	5,000	3,600 3,700 nil	7,300
Total assets		14,400		14,800
Equity and liabilities Equity shares of \$1 each Property revaluation reserve	900	2,000	nil	2,000
Retained earnings	2,600	3,500		800
Non-current liabilities Finance lease obligations (note (iii)) 7% loan notes 10% loan notes Deferred tax Government grants	nil 3,000 nil 600 1,200	5,500 4,800	3,200 nil 3,000 100 nil	2,800 6,300
Current liabilities Bank overdraft Trade payables Government grants Finance lease obligations (note (iii))	nil 3,100 400 nil	4.100	1,200 3,800 nil 500	F 700
Taxation	600	4,100		5,700
Total equity and liabilities				

Notes

- (i) Both companies operate from similar premises.
- (ii) Additional details of the two companies' plant are:

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Grappa \$'000	Merlot \$'000
Owned plant – cost	8,000	10,000
Leased plant – original fair value	nil	7,500

There were no disposals of plant during the year by either company.

- (iii) The interest rate implicit within Merlot's finance leases is 7.5% per annum. For the purpose of calculating ROCE and gearing, **all** finance lease obligations are treated as long-term interest bearing borrowings.
- (iv) The following ratios have been calculated for Grappa and can be taken to be correct:

Return on year end capital employed (ROCE)	14.8%
(capital employed taken as shareholders' funds plus long-term i	nterest bearing borrowings – see note (iii) above)
Pre-tax return on equity (ROE)	19·1%
Net asset (total assets less current liabilities) turnover	1·2 times
Gross profit margin	12.5%
Operating profit margin	10.5%
Current ratio	1.2:1
Closing inventory holding period	70 days
Trade receivables' collection period	73 days
Trade payables' payment period (using cost of sales)	108 days
Gearing (see note (iii) above)	35.3%
Interest cover	6 times
Dividend cover	3.6 times

Required:

(a) Calculate for Merlot the ratios equivalent to all those given for Grappa above.

(8 marks)

- (b) Assess the relative performance and financial position of Grappa and Merlot for the year ended 30 September 2008 to inform the directors of Victular in their acquisition decision. (12 marks)
- (c) Explain the limitations of ratio analysis and any further information that may be useful to the directors of Victular when making an acquisition decision. (5 marks)

(25 marks)

7 [P.T.O.

4 (a) The definition of a liability forms an important element of the International Accounting Standards Board's Framework for the Preparation and Presentation of Financial Statements which, in turn, forms the basis for IAS 37 Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets.

Required:

Define a liability and describe the circumstances under which provisions should be recognised. Give two examples of how the definition of liabilities enhances the reliability of financial statements. (5 marks)

(b) On 1 October 2007, Promoil acquired a newly constructed oil platform at a cost of \$30 million together with the right to extract oil from an offshore oilfield under a government licence. The terms of the licence are that Promoil will have to remove the platform (which will then have no value) and restore the sea bed to an environmentally satisfactory condition in 10 years' time when the oil reserves have been exhausted. The estimated cost of this on 30 September 2017 will be \$15 million. The present value of \$1 receivable in 10 years at the appropriate discount rate for Promoil of 8% is \$0.46.

Required:

- (i) Explain and quantify how the oil platform should be treated in the financial statements of Promoil for the year ended 30 September 2008; (7 marks)
- (ii) Describe how your answer to (b)(i) would change if the government licence did not require an environmental clean up. (3 marks)

(15 marks)

5 On 1 October 2005 Dearing acquired a machine under the following terms:

	Hours	\$
Manufacturer's base price		1,050,000
Trade discount (applying to base price only)		20%
Early settlement discount taken (on the payable amount of the base cost	only)	5%
Freight charges		30,000
Electrical installation cost		28,000
Staff training in use of machine		40,000
Pre-production testing		22,000
Purchase of a three-year maintenance contract		60,000
Estimated residual value		20,000
Estimated life in machine hours	6,000	
Hours used – year ended 30 September 2006	1,200	
year ended 30 September 2007	1,800	
year ended 30 September 2008 (see below)	850	

On 1 October 2007 Dearing decided to upgrade the machine by adding new components at a cost of \$200,000. This upgrade led to a reduction in the production time per unit of the goods being manufactured using the machine. The upgrade also increased the estimated remaining life of the machine at 1 October 2007 to 4,500 machine hours and its estimated residual value was revised to \$40,000.

Required:

Prepare extracts from the income statement and statement of financial position for the above machine for each of the three years to 30 September 2008.

(10 marks)

End of Question Paper