Answers

Fundamentals Level – Skills Module, Paper F6 (POL) Taxation (Poland)

Marks

1 Zgrzytające Wrzeciono SA

(a) Corporate income tax (CIT) for 2013

Loss per accounts		PLN	PLN (940,000)	
Goods sold in 2012 returned in 2013 Periodic services Income from SWAP (not enacted) Interest from foreign customer (unpaid) Donation within the group	528,900*100/123 50%*180,000		430,000 90,000 (120,000) (70,000) 0	1.5 1 1 1 1
Statistical costs of production Salaries Loss on sale of a trade receivable Loss on sale of a loan receivable Depreciation adjustments:	3,150,000 - 2,715,000 2,200,000/12*100/120·74		435,000 151,841 0 50,000	1 2 0·5 1
 machine small item of PLN 3,000 small item of PLN 4,200 leased office equipment Lease rental payments Lease finance charges Environmental penalty Contractual penalty Donations 	3,000,000*14%*1/12 < PLN 3,500 4,200 - 4,200*14%*5/12 124,000*20%*6/12 40%*30,000		35,000 0 3,955 (12,400) 75,000 (12,000) 600,000 0 13,000	1.5 0.5 1.5 0.5 1 1 0.5 0.5
Taxable income Less: Donations to: local church Swiss based charity		3,000 0	729,396	0∙5 0∙5
Total allowable donations Less: Losses from 2012	< 10% of taxable income 50%*40,000		(3,000) (20,000)	0·5 1
Tax base Tax at 19%			706,396	0.5
Less: Credit for foreign withholding tax (10% clearly < 19%)	10%*30,000		(3,000)	1
CIT payable Less: Payments on account	625,000*19%		131,215 (118,750)	1.5
Tax payable			12,465	
				24

24

2

2

(b) CIT is reconciled yearly. The taxpayer must file the tax return and pay the final amount of tax due by the end of the third month following the end of the tax year.

During the year, monthly tax instalments should be paid by the 20th day of the following month; however, no returns are filed.

(c) A company may become a CIT remitter when it makes payment of any of the following to a non-resident:

- interest;
- royalties;
- dividends;
- payments for intangible services;
- payments for sea transport from Poland;
- payments for air transport from Poland;
- payments for entertaining or sport activities in Poland.

FOUR items only required, 0.5 mark per item, maximum

² **30**

2 Olgierd Blech

(a) (i) Taxable and non-taxable benefits

		Taxable PLN	Non-taxable PLN	
Medical health care package	150*12	1,800		0.2
Gym membership	450*12	5,400		0.5
Training course			6,400	0.2
Business trip allowance:				
– Within per diem	30*14		420	0.2
– Excess	2,000 - 30*14	1,580		0.2
Hotel accommodation reimbursed	400*14		5,600	0.2
Laptop		300		1
		9,080	12,420	
				4

(ii) Personal income tax (PIT) - 2013 advance instalments deductible by employer

		PLN	
January to August Salary Taxable benefits	12,000*8 (150 + 450)*8 + 1,580 + 300	96,000 6,680	1 1
Social security base Social security at 13.71%		102,680 (14,077)	0·5 0·5
Health service contribution (HSC) base		88,603	0.2
Flat rate costs	111.25*8	(890)	0.2
Taxable income		87,713	
Tax at 18% Less: 556*8/12		15,788 (371)	
Less: HSC at 7.75%	(88,603 at 7·75%)	15,417 (6,867)	1 1
Advances paid		8,550	
September to December Salary Taxable benefits	12,000*4 (150 + 450)*4	48,000 2,400	1 0·5
Social security base Social security (111,390 – 102,680) at 13.71% Social security on excess of 41,690 at 2.45%		50,400 (1,194) (1,021)	1 1
HSC base		48,185	
Flat rate costs	111.25*4	(445)	0.2
Taxable income		47,740	
Tax at 32% Less: 556*4/12		15,276 (185)	
Less: HSC at 7.75%	(48,185 at 7·75%)	15,091 (3,734)	1 0·5
Advances paid		11,357	
Total advances paid		19,907	$\frac{0.5}{12}$

(b) (i) As the party ordering a service from a person not employed nor running a business, Olgierd will have to remit personal income tax (PIT), social security and health service contributions.

1

Marks

1 2 25

(ii) Amounts to be remitted in respect of the gardener 2013

Fees paid Social security at 13.71% (to be remitted)	500*12	PLN 6,000 (823)	0·5 1
Cost allowance at 20%		5,177 (1,035)	1
		4,142	
Tax at 18%		746	1
HSC	5,177*7·75%	(401)	1
Tax (to be remitted)		345	0.2
HSC	5,177*9% (to be remitted)	466	1
			6
Social security contributions should be remi	tted by an employer by the 15th day of the follow	ving month.	1

(iii) Social security contributions should be remitted by an employer by the 15th day of the following month. Social security contributions of a person running their own business activity should be remitted by the 10th day of the following month.

3 Roman

(a) Value added tax (VAT) for May 2013

	PLN		PLN	
Output VAT				
Polish sales	340,000	*23/123	63,577	0.2
EU sales	45,000	*23/123	8,415	1
Asia sales	115,000		0	1
Goods imported (self-charge)	100,000	*23%	23,000	1
Car sale (no VAT deducted on purchase)			0	1
Total			94,992	
lotal				
Input VAT				
Goods purchased in Poland	320,000	*23/123	59,837	0.2
Goods imported	100,000	*23%	23,000	1
Computers	7,000	*23/123	1,309	1
Electricity (no invoice issued to Roman)			0	1
Total			84,146	
				8

(b) A VAT taxpayer is any entity or individual performing VATable supplies (typically sales of goods or services) within its business activity.

Tutorial note: Candidates providing definitions of specific cases of entities/persons being taxpayers (e.g. import, ICA, new car purchases in EU, etc) were also granted marks.

(c) VAT is mandatorily applicable only to sales made by a business activity of a certain size. Thus occasional sales are not subject to VAT at all.

Sales made in the course of a small business activity, i.e. within a turnover of less than PLN 150,000, still do not give rise to an obligation to register.

1

2

Marks

15

(d) Methods of filing an individual tax return by which the date of filing is formally confirmed are:

- filing the VAT return directly in the tax office and having a copy date stamped;
- sending the VAT return by registered Polish Mail; and
- sending an online VAT return using the Ministry of Finance application.

1 mark each	3
	15

4 Marcin Obrotny

(a)	(i)	Personal income tax (PIT) treatment	of June 2013 transactions		
		Unsold stock at 31 May 2013 The stock sold wholesale will result ((216,000*20%).	in taxable income from own business	activity of PLN 43,200	1.5
		No PIT consequences will arise from	the PLN 4,000 stock retained by Marci	n for his personal use.	0.2
		Shop furniture The shop furniture sold will result in t -(10,000 - 2,000)/2).	axable income from own business activ	ity of PLN 3,000 (7,000	1
		No PIT consequences (taxable income or allowable loss) will arise from the furniture given for free to Marcin's friend.			0.2
		Computer No PIT consequences will arise from	the computer retained by Marcin for his	puter retained by Marcin for his personal use.	
		Apartment No PIT consequences will arise from the sale of the apartment as the use of the room does not make the residential apartment a business asset and Marcin has owned the apartment for more than five years.			2
		Car No PIT consequences will arise from the sale of the car as it is a private asset which has been owned by Marcin for more than six months.			
	(ii) Output value added tax (VAT) on June 2013 transactions				
		Stock sold Stock retained Furniture (no input deducted) Computer Apartment (private) Car (private)	216,000*1·2*23% 4,000*23% 3,000*23%	PLN 59,616 920 0 690 0 0	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 0.5\\ 0.5\\ \overline{5} \end{array} $
(b)	Soc	ial security and health service contribu	utions		
		ial security contributions			
	,	00*60%*6*34·35%		PLN 4,328	2
		Ith service contributions 00*6*75%*9%		PLN 1,418	<u>1</u> <u>3</u>

5 Zamieć Sp. z o.o. (Zamieć)

Marks

2.5

2.5

2

2

2

(a) Corporate income tax (CIT) implications of transactions

- (1) Zamieć and Sztuki Elektroniczne Sp. z o.o. (SE) are related parties. In such cases, it is necessary to determine whether unrelated parties would enter into such an arrangement. As the only reason for not charging the fee is the common ownership, the answer is no. Thus, Zamieć is at risk of being assessed on the additional revenue which would be due if the services were rendered for an unrelated party.
- (2) Transactions between related parties should be at arm's length but this does not always mean that the sale must be made at market value. In this case, Zamieć has a valid interest in selling below market price as this should enable them to earn higher profits in the future from the distribution profits. Thus, Zamieć should be able to claim that no additional revenue should be assessed.

Tutorial note: In practice, the tax authorities may not accept this explanation.

- (3) Since the services are provided to an unrelated company, there are no grounds to assess Zamieć on additional income. Moreover, as the free of charge service is connected with a potential future contract (and revenue), the costs of rendering this service should be deductible.
- (4) Despite the fact that the services are provided by an unrelated party and there is a valid business reason for it doing so, free of charge benefit **received** is considered as a taxable revenue by the CIT Act.
- (5) The catering company and Zamieć are unrelated parties, so there should be no risk of additional taxable revenue being assessed on Zamieć. However, CIT Act prevents Zamieć from claiming tax depreciation on the proportion of the building area used for free by another company.

Tutorial note: In the case of (4) and to some extent (5), it could be argued that some connection with the earning of taxable revenues exists. Candidates presenting sound arguments for this but still mentioning the risk of a dispute with the tax authorities would also have been granted marks.

(b) (i) The opinion of the local tax office in the form of a verbal, emailed or even official office letterhead written advice is not formally binding for the taxpayer and may be disregarded by the tax inspectors.

A formally binding individual interpretation can only be obtained by application under the specific procedure to the Ministry of Finance (represented in this respect by the Tax Chambers). General interpretations issued by the Ministry of Finance on selected tax issues are also binding for the tax authorities.

(ii) The presence of transfer pricing documentation (as per art. 9a of the CIT Act) does not protect the taxpayer from the risk of the tax authorities challenging the tax treatment of transactions with related parties. The tax authorities may still challenge the level of prices used by the parties.

However, formal transfer pricing documentation (if correctly prepared) protects the taxpayer from the application of the punitive 50% CIT rate on underestimated income.

1 2 1·5 0·5

1

2