
Answers

Section A

1 C

2 C

3 D

4 B

5 C $160,000 * 70% * 27.1% = 30,352$ RR

6 B $(18,240,000 * 100/120 + 7,000,000 + 7,000,000 * 30%) * 20% = 4,860,000$ RR

7 D $(780,000 + 8,000 - 4,000) * 30% = 235,200$ RR

8 A $(17,100,000 * 1.6%) - 205,000 = 68,600$ RR

9 B $5,000 * (67 * (28 - 16) / 365 + 69 * 31 / 365) * 9% * 35% = 1,270$ RR

10 A $14,400,000 * 20 / 120 / 10 = 240,000$ RR

11 A $(1,100,000 - 400,000) * 0.13 = 91,000$ RR

12 B $(16,920,000 - 12,360,000) * 20 / 120 = 760,000$ RR

13 C $1,344,000 * 100 / 120 + 2,016,000 * 100 / 120 + 25,200,000 * 100 / 120 * 1% = 3,010,000$ RR

14 C $20,000 + 80,000 = 100,000$ RR

15 D $1,250,000 * 70% / 84 * 9 = 93,750$ RR

Section B

Marks

1 000 Hosta (Hosta)

Option 1

Since Flower Ltd owns 80% of Hosta, the loan will be considered as a controlled debt. 1/2

Check the maximum interest limitation:

$125\% \times 7\% = 8.75\%$, i.e. 10% will not be deductible in full, only 8.75% will be deductible. 1/2

$7,000,000 \times 8.75\% \times (31 - 7 + 30) / 365 = 90,616$ RR 1/2

Total interest under 10%:

$7,000,000 \times 10\% \times (31 - 7 + 30) / 365 = 103,562$ RR 1/2

Principal amount + interest = $7,000,000 + 103,562 = 7,103,562$ RR 1/2

Net assets $\times 3 = 3,000,000 \times 3 = 9,000,000$ RR 1/2

Since $7,103,562 < 9,000,000$, thin capitalisation rules do not apply. 1/2

Deductible interest in part only: 90,616 RR

Option 2

Loan from 000 Lily (Lily):

5% will be deductible in full from the Russian company Lily. 1/2

Since Lily ownership is 20%, the loan is not controlled, no thin cap rules will be applicable. 1/2

$2,000,000 \times 5\% \times (31 - 7 + 30) / 365 = 14,795$ RR 1/2

Loan from Flower Ltd:

$125\% \times 7\% = 8.75\%$, i.e. 4% will be deductible in full. 1/2

$5,000,000 \times 4\% \times (31 - 7 + 30) / 365 = 29,589$ RR 1/2

Principal amount + interest = $5,000,000 + 29,589 = 5,029,589$ RR 1/2

Since $5,029,589 > 1,000,000 \times 3$, thin capitalisation rules will be applied. 1/2

Thin cap ratio: $5,029,589 / (3,000,000 \times 80\%) = 2.0957$ 1

Deductible interest: $29,589 / 2.0957 = 14,119$ RR 1/2

Imputed dividends: $29,589 - 14,119 = 15,470$ RR 1/2

Withholding tax on dividends at 15%: $15,470 \times 15\% = 2,321$ RR 1/2

Total deductible interest: $14,795 + 14,119 = 28,913$ RR 1/2

10

2 (a) Insurance contributions in respect of Karina

Remuneration: $500,000 \times (22\% + 5.1\%) = 135,500$ RR

Pension fund 22% (remuneration does not exceed 1,150,000 RR) 1/2

Social insurance fund – exemption 1/2

Federal fund of obligatory medical insurance 5.1% 1/2

Reimbursement of business expenses – exempt 1/2

2

(b) Alexander: insurance contributions under a labour agreement

	RR	
Salary (97,000*12)	1,164,000	½
Support payment on the birth of his son	54,000	½
Exemption	(50,000)	1
Reimbursement of relocation expenses to Samara within limits – exempt	0	½
Annual voluntary medical insurance – exempt	0	½
Annual voluntary medical insurance for his son – where stipulated in the labour agreement with the employer	12,000	½
Professional training on digital investigations – exempt	0	½
Compensation of unused vacation	105,000	1
Professional swimming reimbursement	7,000	1
Total insurance contributions base	<u>1,292,000</u>	
Insurance contributions payable by employer:		
Pension fund: (1,150,000*22% + (1,292,000 – 1,150,000)*10%)	267,200	1
Social fund: 865,000*2.9%	25,085	½
Federal fund of obligatory medical insurance: 1,292,000*5.1%	65,892	½
Total insurance contributions:	<u>358,177</u>	
		<u>8</u>
		<u>10</u>

Note: If assumed not in labour agreement, an answer with '0' value should be given the ½ mark.

3 (a) Dmitriy

Personal income tax liability

Option 1

	RR	
Revenue	610,000	
Actual expenses	(100,000)	½
Insurance contributions	(97,000)	½
Taxable base	<u>413,000</u>	
PIT at 13%	53,690	½

Option 2

	RR	
Revenue	610,000	
Business deduction (20%)	(122,000)	½
Taxable base	<u>488,000</u>	
PIT at 13%	63,440	½

In terms of tax efficiency, option 1 should be chosen.

½

3

(b) (i) Ivan

	RR	
Sale of shares: 1,000*4,000	4,000,000	½
Acquisition expenses	(1,000,000)	½
Broker's fee	(40,000)	½
Interest expense (Note 1)	(44,876)	1½
Total taxable base	<u>2,915,124</u>	
PIT at 13%	378,966	½
Taxable base at 35% rate		

Imputed interest income

13 January–30 April

$$(2/3 \times 15\% - 7\%) \times 900,000 \times (31 - 13 + 28 + 31 + 30) / 365 = 7,915 \text{ RR}$$

($\frac{1}{2}$ for 2/3, $\frac{1}{2}$ for correct CBR rate, $\frac{1}{2}$ for correct days)

1½

No imputed interest up to 31 December 2019 due to CBR rate $\times 2/3 <$ interest rate (7% or 5%).

½

Tax at 35%

$$7,915 \times 35\% = 2,770 \text{ RR}$$

½

6

Note 1

Q1

$$900,000 \times 7\% \times (31 - 13 + 28 + 31) / 365 = 13,290$$

Q2

$$900,000 \times 7\% \times (30 + 31 + 30) / 365 = 15,707$$

Q3

$$900,000 \times 7\% \times (31 + 31 + 30) / 365 = 15,879$$

- (ii) Ivan should sell the shares from 1 February 2025 (ownership period should exceed five years), for which he will then receive an exemption equal to the total proceeds amount. No PIT will be due to the budget.

1

10

4 (a) (i) 000 Leto and 000 Fermer

Q2

RR

000 Leto (agent)

Output VAT (remuneration)

$$16,524,000 \times 20 / 120 \times 1\% =$$

27,540

1

($\frac{1}{2}$ for 20/120, $\frac{1}{2}$ for 1%)

VAT due to budget

27,540

000 Fermer (principal)

Input VAT

On purchases $16,524,000 \times 20 / 120 =$

2,754,000

½

On commission

27,540

½

VAT due from budget

(2,781,540)

2

- (ii) 000 Fermer is obliged to register VAT invoices reissued from the agent in its purchase book in the quarter when the conditions for VAT recovery are met – Q2 in this case.

½

The way of VAT payment to the budget for Q2 by 000 Leto could be done in three equal instalments:

$$27,540 / 3 = 9,180 \text{ RR each}$$

½

Relevant max deadlines for the above instalments should be the following:

by 25 July 2020, by 25 August 2020 and by 25 September 2020.

1

(1 mark is allocated when all three dates are correct, $\frac{1}{2}$ mark is given when only one or two dates are correct)

2

(b) 000 Med and 000 Perga

Q1

000 Perga

Output VAT

$$5,520,000 \times 20 / 120 = 920,000 \text{ RR}$$

½

000 Med

Input VAT

$$5,520,000 \times 20 / 120 \times 95\% = 874,000 \text{ RR}$$

1

Q2

000 Perga

Input VAT

Issued amended invoice

$$552,000 \times 20/120 = 92,000$$

1/2

000 Med

RR

Output VAT

VAT claw back from amended invoice received in Q2

92,000

1

Input VAT

$$5,520,000 \times 20/120 \times 5\%$$

(46,000)

1

46,000

4

(c) 000 Tango

Since there are no direct costs related to exempt activities,

1/2

share of general and administrative expenses for exempt activities is equal to 0%

1/2

and total value of expenses related to exempt activities is equal to 0, meaning less than 5% of total expenses,

1/2

input VAT on general and administrative expenses should be 100% recoverable – 500,000 RR.

1/2

2

10

5 Valeriya

(a) Personal income tax to be withheld by her employer for the year 2020

	RR	
Gross income $70,000 \times 12$	840,000	1/2
Children allowance for 2 children $1,400 \times 2 \times 4$	(11,200)	1
Children allowance for 3rd child $3,000 \times 4$	(12,000)	1/2
Interest deduction (5 September–30 November) $1,000,000 \times 4\% \times (30 - 5 + 31 + 30) / 365$	(9,425)	1
Reimbursement of accommodation – exempt	0	1/2
Statutory <i>per diems</i> for 4 days + 1 day for return back – exempt	0	1/2
<i>Per diems</i> exceeding the statutory limit: $14,000 - (4 \times 2,500 + 700) =$	3,300	1/2
Taxable base	<u>810,675</u>	
Property allowance (out of max 2,000,000) (1/2 for 2,000,000, 1/2 for the correct amount adhered to her 13% income)	(810,675)	1
Taxable base	<u>0</u>	

No imputed interest income due to confirmation of her eligibility to property allowance from the tax inspection.

1/2

6

(b) Final personal income tax for Valeriya for the year 2020

	RR	
Inherited plot of land and a 50% share in house – exempt <i>(½ per each of the above type of property)</i>		1
Sales proceeds for the plot of land (> 0.7 of cadastral value)	3,600,000	½
Property deduction (< 3 years)	(1,000,000)	½
Sales proceeds re 50% old house (Note 1)	1,120,000	
Property allowance (2,000,000 – 810,675)	(1,189,325)	½
Educational deduction for the son (out of 54,000)	(50,000)	1
Charity deduction in value (< 25% total income) <i>(½ for 25% income, ½ for amount)</i>	(100,000)	1
Taxable base	2,380,675	
Personal income tax at 13%	309,489	½

Note 1

$3,200,000 \times 50\% = 1,600,000$ RR	½
$0.7 \times \text{cadastral value} = 0.7 \times 1,600,000 = 1,120,000$ RR	½
As selling the old house for the price $(1,600,000 \times 0.5)$ less than $0.7 \times \text{cadastral value}$, tax base should be 1,120,000 RR	1
	7

(c) Since Valeriya inherited the property in February 2020, it would be more tax efficient to wait for three years. Thus, from March 2023, she would be able to sell this property and receive 100% property exemption.

1

Assuming that Valeriya acquired the above property, it would be more tax efficient to wait for five years. Thus, from March 2025, she would be able to sell this property with 100% tax exemption.

1

2

15

6 000 Pastila

Corporate profits tax for the year 2020

	RR	
Sales to domestic customers $852,000,000 \times 100/120$	710,000,000	1/2
Export sales	248,500,000	1/2
Prepayments from domestic customers: non-taxable	0	1/2
Total sales	<u>958,500,000</u>	
Direct expenses:		
Direct materials and ingredients $319,500,000 \times 100/120 \times 70\%$	186,375,000	1
Direct wages $84,000,000 \times 70\%$	58,800,000	1/2
One-off write-off $1,440,000,000 \times 30\% \times 100/120$	360,000,000	1
Direct depreciation (Note 1)	103,028,297	
Total direct expenses	<u>(708,203,297)</u>	
Indirect expenses:		
Indirect salaries $5 \times 12 \times 16,500$	(990,000)	1/2
Voluntary medical insurance		
Limited to $6\% \times (58,800,000 + 990,000)$	(3,587,400)	1
Portion of non-deductible: $3,900,000 - 3,587,400 = 312,600$	0	1/2
Voluntary personal insurance against accidents at work		
Limited to $15,000 \times (5 + 200)$	(3,075,000)	1/2
Portion of non-deductible: $3,400,000 - 3,075,000 = 325,000$	0	1/2
Reimbursement of mortgage loan expenses: $3\% \times (58,800,000 + 990,000)$ (1/2 for 3%, 1/2 for 0.7*direct wages)	(1,793,700)	1
Portion of non-deductible: $2,000,000 - 1,793,700 = 206,300$	0	1/2
Total indirect deductible expenses	<u>(9,446,100)</u>	
Non-sale expenses:		
Bad debts provision (Note 2)	(72,420,000)	
Total expenses	<u>(790,069,397)</u>	
Dividend income from 000 Kalach: 7,000,000		
Since two criteria are met:		
(1) Owned more than 365 days from 2016		1/2
(2) Share of ownership exceeds 50%, i.e. 70%		1/2
Dividends should be exempt from profits tax		1/2
Taxable base	<u>168,430,603</u>	
Tax at 20%	33,686,121	1/2

Note 1

$1,440,000,000 \times 70\% \times 100/120 = 840,000,000$ RR 1

$840,000,000 \times (1 - 1.3\%)^{10} = 736,971,703$ RR 1

Depreciation: $840,000,000 - 736,971,703 = 103,028,297$ RR 1/2

Note: Where candidates calculate depreciation by using the 70% allocation of direct expenses, the same marks should be given. This should be stipulated in the tax policy.

Note 2

	RR	
Debtor A < 45 days, no provision		1/2
Debtor B (within 45–90 days), $59,640,000 \times 50\% =$	29,820,000	1/2
Debtor C (> 90 days) 100% will go to provision	42,600,000	1/2
Total provision	<u>72,420,000</u>	

Maximum of bad debts provision:
 $10\% \times 958,500,000 = 95,850,000$ RR 1/2

15