What?
‘Gender-responsive budgeting is the process of assessing the implications for women and men of any planned action, including legislation, policies and programmes, in all areas and at all levels. The objective is to ensure that women and men benefit equally and that inequality is not perpetuated. Gender-responsive budgeting simply means allocating resources in response to the capacities, constraints and needs of women and men, girls and boys.’ (UN Women 2016)

Why?
All 193 UN member states signed up to the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and as a result, 193 UN member states agreed to achieve the goals and targets under SDG 5 – achieve gender equality and empower all women and girls – by 2030. Other international agreements, including the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Convention on the Elimination of all Discrimination against Women (CEDAW) and the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR) among others, include commitments to lift women and girls out of precariousness, violence and a general state of inequality.

The COVID-19 pandemic has heightened the urgency of tackling gender inequality as it has exacerbated inequalities in all parts of the world.

- While women make up 39% of global employment, they account for 54% of pandemic-related job losses (Madgavkar et al. 2020).
- 72% of global domestic workers were made unemployed as a result of the pandemic – 80% of these were women (UN Women 2020a).
- The pandemic will push 47m more women into extreme poverty (UN Women 2020b).

- The virus has also disproportionately harmed women in marginalised communities:
  - globally, minority women make up a higher percentage of employees in the informal sector; these jobs are highly vulnerable to disruption and often do not provide health care or paid leave (OHCHR 2020)
  - as women with disabilities in low-to-middle income countries are more likely to be unemployed or work in the informal sector, and live in poverty, the pandemic is likely to exacerbate these inequalities and push them further into hardship (Meaney-Davis 2020).

‘THE IMPACT OF THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC IS NOT GENDER NEUTRAL, AS IT AFFECTS MEN AND WOMEN DIFFERENTLY. THEREFORE, WE MUST NOT BE GENDER BLIND IN OUR RESPONSES TO THE PANDEMIC, OR ELSE WOMEN WILL CARRY A DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGHER ECONOMIC COST THAN MEN’. Durant and Coke-Hamilton 2020

How?
GRB can be implemented in any jurisdiction if there is the will and capacity to do so. The existence of certain factors can help ensure GRB is both a long-term undertaking and more effective when implemented.

The following elements are some of the important underlying conditions recommended by the OECD (Downes and Nicol 2019).

A political commitment to tackling gender inequality with an all-of-government approach, the institutional capacity to implement GRB and most importantly – the systematic collection of sex-disaggregated data.
When designing economic recovery packages, the following entry points can help ensure GRB is implemented throughout the policy and budget cycle.

1. Define the policy objectives using available quantitative and qualitative sex-disaggregated data

Performance audits focus on achieving the three E’s: Economy, Efficiency and Effectiveness. However, Sharp (2003) argues that this approach lacks a critical fourth ‘E’: Equity. This fourth ‘E’ would allow for the audit and evaluation stage to account for outcomes that specifically relate to achieving gender equity by including new GRB-related inputs, outputs and outcome indicators. For example, did the unemployment support scheme disproportionately benefit male workers because it targeted male-dominated sectors? If so, what was the impact of this policy and wider policies on employment for women? The Council of Europe recommends that finance professionals produce a sex-disaggregated report of end users or recipients of budget programmes (Quinn 2009).

Part of the auditing process could also include evaluating the accuracy of any equality impact assessments that might have taken place in step 2 so they can be improved upon for the next cycle. Gender audits should be carried out to evaluate the extent to which gender considerations have been mainstreamed into institutions, as well as into policies and budgets, and should seek to improve these for the next cycle. For more information on gender audits see this resource by the European Institute for Gender Equality (2019).

2. Design policies and budgets with the gender objectives in mind

Have the relevant ministries and departments received guidance and do they have sufficient skills and capacity to design their budgets in a gender-responsive way through Budget Call Circulars or similar mechanisms? Is there senior-management buy-in within the department to apply GRB? Once designed, Parliament should scrutinise and thoroughly assess the policies, and ensure that they achieve the intended outcomes. It is critical to understand whether the proposals will reduce gender inequalities exacerbated by the pandemic. To understand this better, government departments should carry out equality impact assessments of the likely implications that policies and budgets will have for both men and women. As these responses might be novel, given this year’s events, analyse similar policies in other jurisdictions to understand the most likely impacts of the policies. Additionally, is the best budget approach and timescale being used? Performance-based budgeting, for example, can help implement GRB when trying to measure the impact of government spending on the people it is targeting. Zero-based budgeting could be used if GRB is a new endeavour. Medium-term budgets are likely to be more effective than short-term ones.

3. Ensure that tools of implementation support the policies and budgets in achieving their intended outcomes and are reported on for effective audit

Are adequate resources being allocated to each of these objectives? Do the relevant government departments and localities have the resources, capacity and training they need to implement GRB effectively? If these conditions aren’t met at the implementation stage, the work carried out in previous stages may not produce the intended outcomes. An expenditure tracking system to monitor disbursements can also be used to monitor progress and develop a clearer audit trail for stage 4. For more information see UN Women’s GRB Technical Brief (UN Women 2020c).
Acknowledgements

This project was informed largely from interviews carried out with 15 gender-responsive budgeting experts from all over the world.

Assoc. Prof. Indrawati Yuhertiana, MM, Ak, CA, UPN (Universitas Pembangunan Nasional) Veteran, Surabaya
Bernadette Wanjala, Director of Research, Strathmore Business School
Dr Gabrielle Hosein, Senior Lecturer and Head of the Institute for Gender and Development Studies, University West Indies
Dr Olumide Adisa, Research Fellow and Head of Centre for Abuse Research, University of Suffolk
Dr Susan N. Kavuma, Economist, Research Associate with ACODE
Gillian Fawcett, Public Policy, Strategy and Finance Consultant and Founder of Public Finance by Women
Kaniz Siddique, Economist (Formerly in the Ministry of Finance of Bangladesh)
Ly Vu Phuong, Programme Specialist, UN Women Hanoi
Mary-Ann Stephenson, Director of Women’s Budget Group
Nalini Burn, Gender Equality Advisor and Champion
Professor Ileana Steccolini, Professor of Accounting, University of Essex
Samantha Hung, Chief of Gender Equity Thematic Group, Asian Development Bank
Shelby Bourgault, Gender Research Assistant, Center for Global Development

And special thanks to Urska Zrinski, Public Sector Specialist, World Bank, Governance, Latin America and the Caribbean and Zohra Khan, Global Policy Advisor, Gender-Responsive Budgeting, UN Women for their detailed review of the project.

Many thanks to all for their expert-input into this project.

References


