# 01 O TECHNICAL

# EXAMINER'S APPROACH

### RELEVANT TO ACCA QUALIFICATION PAPER P4

This article should be read in conjunction with the examiner's approach article relating to Paper P4, written by Bob Ryan and refers to the December 2010 exam, which is the first paper that is written by me, Shishir Malde, as the new examiner.

A second examiner's approach article will be published in early 2011 for exams from June 2011 onwards. It will highlight syllabus changes to Paper P4, changes in the formulae that will be given in the exam, and further changes to the structure of the exam. The second article will also highlight how I see Paper P4 developing and my approach to it.

#### AIM AND MAIN CAPABILITIES

The aim of Paper P4 is to enable candidates to learn to apply relevant knowledge, techniques and skills, and to exercise professional judgment, in recommending or taking decisions relating to the financial management of a business. The overarching aim of such recommendations and decisions is to add value to a business, paying due regard to the impact on the reputation of the business; and to ethical, environmental and stakeholder concerns.

The exercise of professional judgment in making sound decisions requires the need for careful weighing up of evidence that is often partial and incomplete. The right decision must often be made without the help of a simple algorithm, and in the face of an array of criteria some technical and some ethical - which may be in conflict and not entirely clear cut. Hence Paper P4 requires candidates to display analytical and evaluative skills, skills of judgment where alternatives are considered, and discuss the limitations of techniques used. Presentation skills are also important and answers should be clear and structured.

Analytical techniques and discussion should be applied in relation to the context of the scenario in the question and related to the real world where applicable. It is not sufficient to apply techniques or to conduct discussions without considering whether or not these apply to the question asked. It's also important that candidates are up-to-date in their understanding of the current global economic and financial environment.

The principles underpinning Paper P4 are introduced and developed in the Paper F9, Financial Management. In Paper F9, essential elements have been developed: financial environment, investment appraisal, cost of capital, estimation and decisions on capital structure, sources of finance, dividend policy, working capital

#### PAPER P4 SYLLABUS

Bob Ryan's examiner's approach article, together with the relational diagram, *Syllabus* and *Study Guide* give a comprehensive detail of the key elements of the P4 paper.

Candidates should be aware that exam questions will come from the entire range of the syllabus. In Section A of the paper especially, but not exclusively, the questions may require candidates to draw on knowledge and techniques from different parts of the syllabus. Therefore, candidates should not expect each question to be related to only one part of the syllabus.

STRUCTURE OF THE EXAM PAPER In past sittings, Section A of Paper P4 consisted of 60% of the total marks on

THE TOPIC OF LEASE ACCOUNTING HAS BEEN LONG DEBATED, WITH MANY PREPARERS AND USERS OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CLAIMING THAT THE CURRENT TREATMENT UNDER IAS 17 (HKAS 17) LEASES IS TOO SUBJECTIVE AND CAN TOO EASILY RESULT IN OFF-BALANCE SHEET FINANCE.

management, risk management and business valuation. Paper P4 explores these areas, but at a more advanced level, and considers problems and issues (many derived from real situations) relevant to the highest-level financial management of an organisation. New areas include responsibility towards stakeholders, acquisitions and mergers, corporate reconstruction and re-organisation, multinational organisations and emerging ssues.

To be successful at this level, candidates must be able to demonstrate an integrated understanding of the subject. Therefore, it is essential that candidates are able to draw upon the knowledge, techniques and skills gained in Paper F9, and apply these to Paper P4. Also knowledge gained from the other Fundamentals level papers and from the essentials Professional level papers will be useful in helping candidates demonstrate an integrated approach. the paper and Section B consisted of 40% of the total marks. The December 2010 paper will follow the same structure, but the wholly written question will be Question 5 instead of Question 4.

The intention is to change the structure of the exam for future sittings after December 2010. These changes will be explained in the article to be published in early 2011.

Professional marks will continue to be allocated to one or both the questions in Section A. These marks are allocated on the basis of the clarity and structure of the answer. They may also be allocated for the format required. So, for example, if a report is required, it should consist of a title, an introduction, other features as appropriate, a conclusion and appropriate use of appendices.

#### STUDENT ACCOUNTANT ISSUE 17/2010

Studying Paper P4? Performance objectives 15 and 16 are relevant to this exam



Every examiner has their own style of writing exam papers, and it is likely that the style of future papers will differ from past Paper P4 and Paper 3.7 exams. It's likely that future questions will be more analytically complex but less technically complex. My intention is to use the range of the syllabus in developing questions in future sittings and not focus too narrowly on a particular part of the syllabus.

It is likely that candidates will be asked to consider information-intensive. scenario-based questions. Every question on the paper could be scenario-based, including Question 5. Therefore, it is imperative that candidates use the reading and planning time at the start of the paper effectively.

An example pilot question, suggested answer and marking scheme follow this article. The pilot question is in the form of a substantial 40-mark, Section A type question. This should provide candidates with an indication of the style and complexity of questions that will be asked.

- DO
- Do study the entire syllabus. You can expect questions from a range of syllabus areas and sometimes more than one area in a question. Be prepared for questions which require you to consider a number of areas of the syllabus within one question.
- Do use the reading and planning time effectively. It's likely that the questions will include a substantial amount of information that you will need to sort out and apply properly.
- Do use reading and planning time to become sure of which questions you want to answer from the optional questions. Starting on a question and then deciding you would like to do another, just wastes time needlessly.
- Do make sure that you are able to answer all parts of each question and manage your time effectively so that you make a reasonable attempt at each part of each question. Remember it is much easier to get the first few marks in a question than the last few marks. Good time management is essential.

- Do answer the requirements, for example if the question asks you to explain, it is not enough just to list. If the question asks you to assess, it is not enough just to explain.
- For the written parts of any question, do remember it is generally a mark for each relevant point. Repeating a point does not get you any extra marks and it wastes time.
- Do apply your answer to the scenario in question. Context is very important for higher level papers.
- Do pay due regard to neatness, organisation and structure of your answers. Professional exams are time-pressured but giving your answers structure will help you organise your thoughts and work more effectively.

#### DON'T

Don't try to pick topic areas from the syllabus or rely on certain areas coming up, for three reasons: first, you may get it wrong; second, the question may be an option but a difficult one; third, the topic you picked is part of a question but the rest of the question relates to a topic you decided not to study. The risk is far too high.

- Don't disregard what you learnt in Paper F9 and other papers. You may need the knowledge (and techniques) from previous papers.
- Don't use incomplete sentences when making a point. Marks are awarded for complete points made in full sentences. However, you can use bullet points and numbered paragraphs, and headings when appropriate, to structure an answer to a question. But these should be complete sentences.

#### SUMMARY

In summary, there are a few changes to the structure of the exam paper. These will be incorporated from December 2010 (especially the wholly written question as Question 5). Candidates should expect longer scenario-based questions in the future which will be analytically complex but perhaps less technically complex.

There will be more syllabus changes and changes to the exam structure made to Paper P4, which will be applicable from June 2011 and a further article explaining these changes will appear in early 2011.

The key elements of applying techniques and knowledge to differing and complex scenarios, adopting an integrative approach, demonstrating sound analytical and presentation skills, and making considered decisions, will be the factors that distinguish the highly successful P4 candidate.

Shishir Malde is examiner for Paper P4

HAVING ONE METHOD TO ACCOUNT FOR ALL TYPES OF LEASES WILL GO A LONG WAY TO IMPROVE CONSISTENCY AND COMPARABILITY, THOUGH SOME MAY ARGUE THAT USING ONE METHOD FOR ALL TYPES OF LEASE IS TOO SIMPLISTIC. AN EXPOSURE DRAFT ON LEASES IS EXPECTED IN THE THIRD QUARTER OF 2010.

# 03 • TECHNICAL

# SAMPLE EXAMQUESTION PAPER P4: ADVANCED FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

This is a substantial Section A compulsory question. Candidates are required to consider a small case study type scenario. It starts by asking candidates to calculate relevant cash flows and net present value, taking into account taxation, inflation and an appropriate (risk-adjusted) discount rate. It then asks candidates to use the real option methodology to assess the value of an abandonment option and the resulting value of the project.

Candidates are also asked to discuss the assumptions made and the limitations of the approach taken. The question ends by considering the implications on the abandonment option if the company enters into a contractual agreement with another company.

#### SAMPLE QUESTION

Allegro Technologies Co (ATC), a listed company based in Europe, has been involved in manufacturing motor vehicle parts for many years. Although not involved in the production of complicated engine components previously, ATC recently purchased the patent rights for \$2m to produce an innovative energy saving engine component which would cut carbon-based emissions from motor vehicles substantially.

ATC has spent \$5m developing prototypes of the component and undertaking investigative research studies. The research studies came to the conclusion that the component will have a significant commercial potential for a period of five years, after which, newer components would come into the market and the sales revenue from this component would fall to virtually nil. The research studies have also found that in the first two years (the development phase) there will be considerable training and development costs and fewer components will be produced and sold. However, sales revenue is expected to grow rapidly in the following three years (the commercial phase).

It is estimated that in the first year, the selling price would be \$1,000 per component, the variable costs would be \$400 per component and the total direct fixed costs would be \$1,500,000. Thereafter, while the selling price is expected to increase by 8% per year, the variable and fixed costs are expected to increase by 5% per year, for the next four years. Training and development costs are expected to be 120% of the variable costs in the first year, 40% in the second year and 10% in each of the following three years.

The estimated average number of engine components produced and sold per year is given in **Table 1**.

There is considerable uncertainty as to the exact quantity that could be produced and sold and the estimated standard deviation of units produced and sold is expected to be as much as 30%.

Machinery costing \$120,000,000 will need to be installed prior to commencement of the component production. ATC has enough space in its factory to manufacture the components and therefore will incur no additional rental costs. Tax allowable depreciation is available on the machinery at 10% straight line basis. It can be assumed that, depending on the written down value, a balancing adjustment will be made at the end of the project, when the machinery is expected to be sold for \$40,000,000. ATC makes sufficient profits from its other activities to take advantage of any tax loss relief available from this project.

Initially, ATC will require additional working capital for the project of 20% of the first year's sales revenue. Thereafter every \$1 increase in sales revenue will require a 10% increase in working capital.

Although this would be a major undertaking for ATC, it is confident that it can raise the finance required for the machinery and the first year's working capital. The financing will be through a mixture of a rights issue and a bank loan, in the same proportion as the market values of its current equity and debt capital. Any annual increase in working capital after the first year will be financed by internally generated funds.

Largo Co, a company based in South-East Asia, has approached ATC with a proposal to produce some of the parts required for the component at highly competitive rates. In exchange, Largo Co would expect ATC to sign a five-year contract giving Largo Co the exclusive production rights for the parts.

Staccato Innovations Co (SIC) is a listed company involved in the

#### TABLE 1:

Year	1	2	3	4	5	
Units produced and sold	7,500	20,000	50,000	60,000	95,000	

manufacture of innovative engine components and engines for many years. As the worldwide demand for energy saving products has increased, it has successfully developed and sold products designed to reduce carbon emissions. SIC has offered to buy the production rights of the component and the machinery from ATC for \$113,000,000 after the development phase has been completed in two-years' time.

#### ADDITIONAL DATA

ATC, Extracts from its latest Statement of Financial Position

	\$m
Non-current assets	336
Current assets less current liabilities	74
6% Bank Ioan	156
Share capital	52
Reserves	202

ATC shares have a face value of \$0.50 per share and are currently trading at \$3.50 per share. ATC's beta has been quoted at approximately 1.3 over the past year.

SIC, Extracts from its latest Statement of Financial Position

	\$m
Non-current assets	417
Current assets less current liabilities	157
5% Loan notes (2016–2018)	92
Share capital	125
Reserves	357

SIC shares have a face value of \$1 per share and are currently trading at \$3.00 per share. Its loan notes are trading at \$102 per \$100. SIC's beta has been quoted at approximately 1.8 over the past year.

#### Other data

Tax rate applicable to ATC and SIC 20%

It can be assumed that tax is payable in the same year as the profits on which it is charged

Estimated risk-free rate of return 3% Historic equity market risk premium 6%

#### Required

#### Prepare a report to the Board of Directors of ATC that:

Assesses whether ATC should undertake the project of developing and commercialising the innovative engine component before taking SIC's offer into consideration. Show all relevant calculations.

(13 marks)

ii Assesses the value of the above project if ATC takes SIC's offer into consideration. Show all relevant calculations

#### (10 marks)

 Discusses the approach taken and the assumptions made for parts (i) and (ii) above.

(8 marks)

iv Discusses possible implications of ATC entering into a contractual agreement with Largo Co. Include in the discussion suggestions of how any negative impact may be reduced. (5 marks)

# Professional marks for format, structure and presentation of the report.

(4 marks)

#### (40 marks)

SUGGESTED SOLUTION Report to the ATC Board of Directors

## Assessment of the investment in the engine component project

This report recommends whether or not ATC would benefit by investing in the engine component project by considering the following alternatives open to it, and explains the approach taken in each case and the assumptions made:

- The value of the project without the SIC offer to buy the project on completion of the two-year development phase.
- The value of the project after taking into account SIC's offer.

The report also considers the possible implications of the offer made by Largo Co on the project.

#### Approach taken

The approach taken is to estimate the net present value (NPV) of the project based on the given estimates of costs and revenues without the SIC offer (see appendices one and three).

This is followed by a revised estimate of the value of the project, after taking into consideration SIC's offer. This is based on viewing the project as a real option to abandon (put option) the project and using Black-Scholes Option Pricing (BSOP) model to give an estimate of this value (see appendix two). Assumptions made and initial assessment In calculating the value of the project, the following assumptions have been made:

- Since this is a new venture for ATC but an ongoing business for SIC, an estimate of the project's risk, as measured by the project's risk-adjusted beta, is made (appendix three, working W4) using SIC's business risk (SIC's asset beta) but ATC's financial risk (project equity beta). This riskadjusted beta is used to calculate the cost of equity and then the cost of capital (discount rate) for the project (appendix three, working w4).
- As part of the W4 calculation in appendix three, it is assumed that debt is riskless and has a beta of zero.
- Unless indicated otherwise, it is assumed that all cash flows occur at the end of the year.
- The patent purchase cost and the investigative research costs are past costs, and therefore not part of the calculation of the value of the project, which is based on future cash flows.
- The option for ATC is the opportunity to 'sell' the project to SIC after two years if the cash flows do not appear to be favourable, hence this is a put option to abandon a project. Since the option is exercised after two years, it can be considered to be a 'European' type option and the BSOP model can be applied, together with the put-call parity relationship.

Based on the calculations in the appendices, from the cost and revenue estimates provided, the net present value before considering the SIC option is negative at \$9,359,000 approximately (appendix one). However after taking into account the value of the put option, the net present value is positive at \$8,087,000 approximately (appendix two). Therefore, it would be beneficial for ATC to undertake the project, if it can decide whether to continue with the project or sell it to SIC for \$133,000,000 after a period of two years. However, without this option it should not proceed with the project.

ATC will not actually obtain the value of the option, however the option value takes into account the volatility or uncertainty of the project. In this case, it indicates that the project is worth pursuing because the volatility may result in increases in future cash flows and the project becomes profitable. On the other hand, the project can be abandoned for \$113,000,000 in two years if the likelihood of sufficient future cash flows remains doubtful. The value attached to this choice is \$17,446,000 approximately (appendix two). In the meantime, ATC can put into place mechanisms to make the production and sales targets more certain and profitable. Therefore, the time ATC has before it needs to make a decision is reflected in

the value of the project by considering real options using the BSOP model.

The BSOP model makes several assumptions such as perfect markets, constant interest rates and lognormal distribution of asset prices. It also assumes that volatility can be assessed and stays constant throughout the life of the project, and that the underlying asset can be traded. Neither of these assumptions would necessarily apply to real options. Therefore, the Board needs to treat the value obtained as indicative rather than definitive, and take the assumptions and limitations into consideration before making a final decision.

## Implications of the Largo Co offer on the value of the project

From the above discussion, it is evident that the project has a negative net present value if it is not considered in conjunction with an option to abandon. The abandonment option makes the project viable. However, if ATC enters into a five-year contractual agreement with Largo Co then this may make the two-year offer by SIC to buy the project redundant. There is no guarantee that SIC would continue to ask Largo Co to produce the parts and ATC would not be able to honour the contract and keep the SIC's offer open at the same time. ATC would need to consider the impact of the cost savings from the agreement with Largo Co against the possible loss of the option. The Board may also wish to consider how binding the contract would be legally, and also consider the negative impact to ATC's reputation and additional costs if it breaches the contract in future.

In order to mitigate the impact of the issue, the Board may wish to approach Largo Co to discuss the terms of the contract and the provision of possible exclusion clauses. The Board may also want to investigate the reasons behind Largo Co's insistence of a five-year contract and offer alternatives such as asking Largo Co to produce components for other ATC products if this venture should cease. Alternatively the Board may initiate discussions with SIC to consider whether it would be willing to honour the contract should the project be sold to them in two years.

In summary, the initial recommendation is that, based on the projected revenue and cost estimates, the project should be pursued if it taken together with SIC's offer to buy the project after two years. However, on its own it is not worthwhile. The offer by Largo Co may make SIC's offer invalid initially but the Board should consider alternatives, some of which are suggested above.

Prepared by: Date:

# SUGGESTED SOLUTION: APPENDICES

### APPENDIX ONE

Year	0	1	2	3	4	5
<b>\$'000</b> Sales revenue (w1)		7,500	21,600	58,300	75,540	129,200
<b>Less:</b> Variable costs (w2)		3.000	8,400	22.050	27.780	46.170
Fixed costs		1,500	1,575	1,654	1,736	1,823
Training and development		3,600	3,360	2,205	2,778	4,617
Cash flows before tax		(600)	8,265	32,391	43,246	75,590
Taxation (w3)		2,520	747	(4,078)	(6,249)	(8,718)
Working capital	(1,500)	(1,410)	(3,670)	(1,724)	(5,366)	13,670
Machinery	(120,000)					40,000
Net cash flows	(121,500)	510	5,342	26,589	31,631	120,542
Present values (12%, w4)	(121,500)	455	4,259	18,926	20,102	68,399

Net present value is approximately \$(9,359,000)

## APPENDIX TWO

VALUE OF PUT OPTION (INCORPORATING THE OFFER FROM SIC) Present value of underlying asset (Pa) = 107,427,000 (approximately) (This is the sum of the present values of the cash flows foregone in years 3, 4 and 5) Price offered by Largo Co (Pe) = 113,000,000Risk-free rate of interest (r) = 3%Volatility of underlying asset (s) = 30%Time to expiry of option (t) = 2 years

d1 = [ln(107,427,000/113,000,000) + (0.03 + 0.5 x 0.3<sup>2</sup>) x 2] / [0.3 x 2<sup>1/2</sup>] = 0.234 d2 = 0.234 - 0.3x21/2 =  $\cdot 0.190$ 

N(d1) = 0.5 + 0.0925 = 0.5925N(d2) = 0.5 - 0.0753 = 0.4247

$$\label{eq:callvalue} \begin{split} \mbox{Call value} &= \$107,427,000 \times 0.5925 - \$113,000,000 \times 0.4247 \times e^{-0.03 \times 2} = \mbox{approx}. \$18,454,000 \\ \mbox{Put value} &= \$18,454,000 - \$107,427,000 + \$113,000,000 \times e^{-0.03 \times 2} = \mbox{approx}. \$17,446,000 \end{split}$$

Net present value with put option = \$17,446,000 - \$9,359,000 = approx. \$8,087,000

## APPENDIX THREE

WORKINGS TO SUPPORT THE NET PRESENT VALUE CALCULATION (APPENDIX 1)

Year	1	2	3	4	5
Units produced and sold	7,500	20,000	50,000	60,000	95,000
Unit price(\$)	1,000	1,080	1,166	1,259	1,360
Sales revenue (\$'000)	7,500	21,600	58,300	75,540	129,200



W2

Year	1	2	3	4	5
Units produced and sold	7,500	20,000	50,000	60,000	95,000
Unit variable costs(\$)	400	420	441	463	486
Variable costs (\$'000)	3,000	8,400	22,050	27,780	46,170

	V	

Year	1	2	3	4	5
Cash flows before tax (\$'000)	(600)	8,265	32,391	43,246	75,590
Tax allowable dep'n (\$'000)	(12,000)	(12,000)	(12,000)	(12,000)	(32,000)
Taxable flows (\$'000)	(12,600)	(3,735)	20,391	31,246	43,590
Taxation (20%) (\$'000)	(2,520)	(747)	4,078	6,249	8,718

W4

Asset beta of project =  $1.8 \times (3 \times 125)/(3 \times 125 + 92 \times 1.02 \times 0.8) = 1.50$ Equity beta: project beta adjusted for financial risk of ATC =  $1.5 \times (3.5 \times 104 + 156 \times 0.8) / (3.5 \times 104) = 2.014$ Cost of equity =  $3\& + 2.014 \times 6\% = 15.08\%$ WACC (discount rate) =  $(15.08\% \times 364 + 6\% \times 0.8 \times 156)/520 = 11.996\%$  approx. 12%

#### MARKING SCHEME

MARKING SCHEME	Mark(s)	Ма	ark(s)
Part (i) NPV calculation	Wark(5)	Part (iii) Discussion	11 K(5)
Calculation of project equity beta	1	Identify put option correctly	1
Calculation of discount rate as a result	1	Discussing how real options can help with NPV decisions	\$ 2.3
Sales revenue	2	Discussion of the limitations of real options	2-3
Variable costs	2	Discussion of other assumptions and approach	2.3
Fixed overheads	1	Max part (iii)	8
Training and development	1		
Taxation	2	Part (iv)	
Working capital required	2	Discussing the difficulty with exercising the option if	
Present values and NPV	1	contract agreed	2-3
Total part (i)	13	Reducing negative impact	2-3
		Max part (iv)	5
Part (ii) Option Calculation	_		
Identify correct asset value	1	Professional marks (neatness and clarity, structure,	
Identify correct time to expiry	1	report format)	4
Identify other variables: exercise price, interest rate	1		
and volatility	1	Notes One differently has achieve from alternative could be sinte orbit.	
	2	<b>Note:</b> Credit will be given for alternative, valid points which not in the colution for ports (iii) and (iv)	cn are
N(d1)	1	not in the solution for parts (iii) and (iv)	
d2 and N(d2) Call value	1		
Put value	1		
NPV with option value	1		
Total part (ii)	10		
	10		